The scene: A police car drives down a busy main street during the early Monday morning rush. The officer
driving deliberately maintains a speed 10 mph below the posted speed limit. He knows nobody will pass him, and indeed, nobody does.

The drivers who would otherwise press 10 to 15 mph faster than the posted speed limit are constrained by their fear of the police officer’s power of authority. The man wearing his police uniform, driving his police car and exerting his power to slow the drivers around him takes his authority from the consensus of those other drivers. Everybody seeing the uniform, the badge, the police cruiser buys into the idea that this man is a figure of authority, and with this consensus, the illusion is made real. Later, this police officer will park his police cruiser, change into his civilian clothes and drive his own car to wherever he lives. There, he will eat his dinner just like each of his neighbors. He will take off his shoes just like each of his neighbors.

He will take off his clothes, and climb, naked into his shower, just like each of his neighbors. His body will metabolize the food he ate, and in the morning he brush his teeth, depilate, and defecate just like each of the other human beings living in the houses adjacent to his. When the city this man lives in raises his property taxes by $1000 per year, he will pay, just like each of his neighbours. Like them, he has subscribed to the consensus in which everybody agrees that “the city” has the authority and power to exact tribute from each person living under the city’s “authority”.

How is it that anyone, or any group of people in civil society have “power” to regulate, restrict the actions of, or to extract a portion of the income of other members of that civil society? It would be easy to imagine this is through force, the threat of penalty or violence or arrest. The real reason is that “authority” exists only by consensus.

During a theatrical magic performance, members of the audience don’t really believe the magician’s assistant is in actual danger of being sawn in half. They understand that the woman being cut in half is an illusion. So with this understanding, does the magician’s audience resent their deception by the magician? Of course not, a member of magician’s audience participates in their own deception by willfully suspending their disbelief. An unspoken consensus between the performer and the audience is established in which everybody present agrees that the magician “really can” cut his assistant in half.

The audience, through mutual consensus confers the illusion of power and authority on the magician. Aside from the willing consensus of the magician’s audience, he employs a continuous routine of misdirection, disinformation and manipulation on his audience. Like the magician’s atmosphere, proselytism and disinformation form a major component of the engineered consensus which maintains an accepted status quo in civil society.
At no point does a competent magician stop in the middle of his routine and break the illusion of his “power”. The magician’s intimation of his own authority and power must be maintained throughout the performance without letup. The performer who breaks the audience’s perception of that power will not be popular.

For the same reason, the “information” fed to the public must be continuous, to prop up the accepted public consensus of political and social reality. Just as a magician cannot break character midway through his act and keep his audience, political and social mythology which civil society accepts as “real” relies on the non-interrupted program of public narrative.

Myth: International finance is mysterious, complicated, and difficult to understand.

Almost every single television “news” station runs across the bottom of your TV screen a constant scrolling ticker filled with cryptic, complicated and intimidating financial market indexes and statistics during their “news” broadcast. Clearly, the information conveyed by these scrolling tickers is important, urgent, and deeply complicated.

These stations also bring in “experts” to “explain” the vagaries of the economic scene to us, the poor benighted viewers. These financial experts will intimidate us with a somewhat comprehensible description. The implication is of the viewer’s lack of background understanding of the complex and important fundamentals. ??This is all misdirection and bullshit.??Reality: International finance works like this:

The Central Bank (Federal Reserve) creates imaginary money by typing a large number into a computer.

The Central Bank (Federal Reserve) loans that imaginary money, at interest to your government, and to your banks.

Your government spends the money, and makes it into “real” money by forcing you to pay it back through taxation on your labor.

Your bank helps in the game of shifting that debt onto you by loaning some to you and increasing the interest rate of your repayment.

Everything else in finance is slight-of-hand to distract you.

Myth: A collection of disenfranchised fanatical Moslems are a credible threat to the largest military superpower in the world.

Reality: Politicians and news readers selling this story are counting on the audience never examining this
absurd premise, and counting on members of the public to swallow whole the “boogeyman” of terrorism.

Myth: There is a difference between the Democratic and Republican parties.

Myth: You as an individual lack real power in civil society.

Myth: You as an individual are incapable of understanding the real world without the parental guidance of government or external authority.

There are a lot more of these subtle falsehoods, but listing and individually debunking them all is not the point of this article. Some of these lies are thousands of years old.

The lie of personal powerlessness is a re-framing of the biblical admonition to surrender control of your life to the self-appointed intermediaries to “god”; the church.

The myth of your personal inability to comprehend the big complicated world is a retelling of the biblical edict to surrender your personal accountability to an imaginary supernatural redeemer-figure.

These false “truths” are parts of the droning, hypnotizing message which permeates modern media-saturated western culture. As a mythology which underlies almost all content fed to the public through television and movies, the message is impossible to avoid. Understanding that a droning message permeates all of corporate created media gives one a tool to distinguish manufactured “truth” from observable reality.

The present culture of public deception promoted and maintained through corporate-controlled media is very real, and has it’s recent origin in the neo-conservative philosophy of Leo Strauss.

Strauss was a political philosopher who arrived in the United States in 1938. Strauss taught at several major universities, including University of Chicago. Among Strauss’s students were the architects of the neo-conservatism which has dominated and defined the agenda of the democratic and the republican parties of the past several decades.

Strauss saw the use of deception in politics as a necessity. While outwardly professing deep respect for American democracy, Strauss believed that societies should be hierarchical; divided between an elite who should lead, and the masses who should follow. Unlike philosophical elitists such as Plato, he was unconcerned with the moral character of these leaders.

“Those who are fit to rule are those who realize there is no morality and that there is only one natural right
the right of the superior to rule over the inferior.”

Shadia Drury, Professor of political science at the University of Calgary described this philosophy as one which requires an environment of “perpetual deception” in which the people are indoctrinated to a manufactured mythology, of simplistic character appropriate to their meagre powers of comprehension.

According to Drury, Straussian philosophy requires: “perpetual deception of the citizens by those in power is critical because they need to be led, and they need strong rulers to tell them what’s good for them.”

In Straussian philosophy, the “elite” believe that there is no morality and the only natural right is that of the superior to rule over the inferior

This philosophy of neo-conservatism also holds that: "While the elite few are capable of absorbing the absence of any moral truth, the masses could not cope. If exposed to the absence of absolute truth, they would quickly fall into nihilism or anarchy"

Strauss also believed that “Because mankind is intrinsically wicked, he has to be governed. Such governance can only be established, however, when men are united and they can only be united against other people.”

A Straussian society then, requires a state of perpetual war.

Senator John McCain (a member of the council of Foreign Relations) was asked a question during a town hall meeting in New Hampshire on January 3 2008

Q: “President Bush has talked about our staying in Iraq for 50 years..”
(McCain cut the questioner off to reply)
A: “Make it a hundred, we've been in Japan for 60 years, we've been in South Korea for 50 years, it's fine by me”

It's easy to dismiss McCain's callous reply as the ranting of a man who's gone completely bug-fuck crazy. Easy, until we realize that under a Straussian philosophy, a state of perpetual war is required to keep the dis-informed public under a social control.

Mr. McCain, only 100 years? Surely you can be more ambitious. McCain's fellow Straussian; William Kristol is a co-founder of the Project the New American Century, but perhaps a longer view can be taken. Possibly even a Reich of a thousand years.
The list of Strauss's students include:

Paul Wolfowitz
Donald Rumsfeld
William Kristol, founder of the Project for the New American Century
Abram Shulsky, former head of the Pentagon’s Office of Special Plans
Stephen Cambone, former Undersecretary of Defense for Intelligence
Dick Cheney

Once we recognize this twisted philosophy, and that the followers of Strauss are the architects of modern neo-conservatism in both parties, as well as the CRF, the Project for a New American Century, it is easy to see that the apparent incompetence of the Bush administration is something entirely other than incompetence.

To describe Straussian philosophy, in such a way that it can be discussed without lengthy and repetitive explanations to the uninitiated, it requires a name or an adjective. Straussian philosophy is in every respect that matters, a philosophy of evil.

When individuals come to realize what is encompassed by the paradigm of political thought driving neo-conservative policy in America, a sea-change in public perception will occur. This is not just a possibility, the information is available, and people will find it, just as you have found this article.

Sea Change: Noun – a profound transformation.
language will be helpful but *not* required.

Please create an account and then follow instructions here.

---
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Pankaj  •  4 years ago

It's a good sign of success to see generally non-MRA-ish people talk about male bashing in school and university campuses.

http://www.lewrockwell.com/blo...

Congratulations to all who have promoted the understanding! We are spreading the message (either that or the misandrist are doing that for us).

B.R. Merrick  •  4 years ago


Jabberwocky  •  4 years ago

Republicans are worse than Democrats.

mideonphish  •  4 years ago

Yeah but but kind of a moot point from the looks of it, there isn't very much to choose between them as I see it.

They both openly hate men and they both leave a hell of a lot to be desired if you ask me.

forweg  •  4 years ago
Maybe, but it doesn't really matter which are worse. Both are absolute enemies of men's rights, and humanity in general.

Mr. J → forweg · 4 years ago
That sums it up right there.......................a million thumbs up.

D · 4 years ago
Four years ago I would have listened politely to someone who said things like this article and author over tea, beer or as a guest at my dinner table. Afterwards he would be on my casually avoid list. Four years before that I would have engaged him in argument.

I would no longer neglect this person. Rather than argue I may reply with expansive conjecture, but my point is: it is a bit over the top, no nefarious philosopher is required for leaders to be assholes and the presence of leaders who organize in their assholery doesn't mean that the world is governed by mind controlling cabals.

You see a pattern ("red pill" anyone?) because there are patterns: leaders, assholes (a.k.a.: human beings), teachers, philosophers, students ... those are real things which make real patterns.

Observe the ocean closely enough and there are patterns too. Currents, streams, mammal and fish migrations, high and low pressure zones, ... and yet, no cabal of Neptune and Davey Jones, no matter how the numbers of sunk ships line up.

So- to the author : I disagree with your means of analysis, I find it to be less empirical and too teleological for my tastes- but we are not necessarily on different sides ... somehow we are engaged around the world now in 4 wars (Pakistan counts as one). Whether I credit Strauss or Cabalist neocons with this is or not

see more

Pankaj → D · 4 years ago
One more thing - Politeness often is a convenient cover for ignorance, cowardice and arrogance. Ignorance of the subject matter Cowardice not to debate it Arrogance to assume validity of their untested, undebated superior knowledge.

Pankaj → D · 4 years ago
This is where your high-flying "logic" fails "Something is wrong with my democracy ".. it was never yours, nor is it a democracy, never was and never will be.

"that our power and decision structure in DC would rather spent..." Your power and decision structure is in DC? Mine is at the top of my body.. called a brain.

For a person eager to dismiss others, the finer details are lost on you. No wonder it is easy to cry "Conspiracy theorist", even though there is no conspiracy theory behind it. These are facts that
even the neo-conservative cabal will eagerly confirm, just ask Bill Kristol (who recently praised Obama as a born-again-neocon) or Charles Krauthammer.

Sounds to me like you are the one living in fantasy island.

Stu  •  4 years ago
One of the things they were saying was that having these sorts of tests will result in low self esteem for the students that don't do well in them lol

Yep, that's all that counts...feelings.

Stu  •  4 years ago
Well I don't know what schools are like anywhere else, but here in OZ, the public schools are hotbeds of misandry. The girls, and I mean the girls, as in students, run them. They are little more then child minding centers, where there is no child minding. As far as I can see, they teach very little of any worth, and are just pumping bullshit into kids heads passed of as education. Politically correct to the point of ridiculous. The teachers have totally lost control and I don't feel sorry for them one bit. They are a large part of the problem, extreme leftist politically correct feminists and manginas, and they have got the system they have pushed for.....no discipline.....except for boys. It's a breeding ground for ultra entitled princesses. Their answer for the most extreme level of bad behavior.....is to have a little talk the little princesses. Worst case scenario is to suspend.....(give them a holiday) for a few days.

the only assessments that really can't be fudged or soft marked, is the end of VCE, which is high school graduation. And it's a bit late them to find out someone can't spell their own name lol

A couple of years ago, the government was going to introduce compulsory standardized assessments that were not going to be marked by the teachers. I'm not sure how is was going to work, but it was going to be annual. It would have highlighted how little students know, and how they were just being put up year after year all the way through school, with bullshit tests designed so anyone can pass, and it would have shown how fucked our teachers are.

Teachers around the country boycotted and schools sent letter to all parents saying to support their action not to have these test.....so they can keep pretending to do their jobs is the way I read it.

They got their way too. Cant have people finding out how pathetic the system is.

Free Human Being  •  Stu  •  4 years ago
Absolutely true Stu!

The teachers in Oz schools are so clearly biased against male students that it is actually sickening to watch.

Almost every time I am near my kid's school, the sexism makes my blood boil.

Boys are singled out and punished whilst the girls get away with bloody murder.

My son was marched in assembly with his male peers as the female deputy principle scolded the
boys en masse because a handful of them actually stood up and complained that the girls were never punished for the same things they did wrong.

Sound familiar?

Sound like a segue into manhood as you learn that only boys are held responsible?

The worst part is a female student attacked a boy with a log in an episode of extreme violence, but rest assured, the girls in their entirety were not marched as an example.

This was on the very same day as if not to rub salt on the wounds.

Day after day these misandric cows teach that male bashing is the norm and that girls a sugar and spice and all things nice.

I have to reprogram my kids to see how wrong this is and how.

---

B.R. Merrick • Free Human Being • 4 years ago

Almost every time I am near my kid’s school, the sexism makes my blood boil.

Then why are your children still in attendance?

---

Free Human Being • B.R. Merrick • 4 years ago

Because all the schools are like this in OZ. They all have 95% female teachers who in turn are mostly left winger femisocialist man haters.

The number of 50+ aged spinsters is too high and men don't want the job lest they have the finger pointed of accusation.

If I had a viable alternative I'd employ it, but rest assured, my kids are given a pretty hefty dose of bullshit filtering from dear old dad.

---

ScareCrow P-Man • 4 years ago

"Myth: A collection of disenfranchised fanatical Moslems are a credible threat to the largest military superpower in the world."

"Myth: You as an individual lack real power in civil society."

These two contradict each other.

"Moslem" is spelled "Muslim"

I guess that a group of fanatic Muslims destroying the world trade center towers was just our imagination?

And - since those terrorists are individuals - it is a myth to say that they lack any real power?

---

Pankaj • ScareCrow P-Man • 4 years ago
"A collection of disenfranchised fanatical Moslems are a credible threat to the largest military superpower in the world."

This is true. It might be confusing because people often assume that they, as Americans, are part of the largest superpower in the world. Fact is - that is not true, nor is the baseless sentiment that the largest superpower is protecting you. Which is why terrorists could be a real threat to you! Just like they proved to be for the people in the twin towers. It's just that YOU are not included in the statement quoted above.

mideonphish • 4 years ago

*** Off Topic Post ***

Just a little bit of news for you from the UK today, It seems that the general behaviour of teenage girls is getting worse here in the UK.

According to the results of a poll published today:

Linky: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/educ...

Quoting: "A survey of teachers, heads and other school staff across the UK found that 44% believed girls' behaviour had worsened in the past two years."

(I think we can safely assume the real figure is probably quite a bit higher.)

This compares with 43% for boys, says a survey of 859 members of the Association of Teachers and Lecturers."

Given that figure I'm guessing that approximately 850 of these respondents were probably female and feminist supporters by default.

Atlas Reloaded • mideonphish • 4 years ago

Arrgghhh I f&*%in HATE that one! Again growing up when the U.S. had finally become the Feminized Soviet State(born in 71), I saw women beat the hell out of each other TONS of times. Countless times. All the violent schools I went to it was usually girls pulling each other's hair and scratching each other. And beating boys...and getting away with it. Hardly ever a suspension. Girls always got away with slapping a boy when he said something to piss her off, at the most a "problem solving session" at the principle's office. Boys got suspended immediately for any fighting whatsoever.

Atlas Reloaded • Atlas Reloaded • 4 years ago

"that boys are generally far more violent than girls:

Quoting: “Boys are more physically aggressive and usually to other pupils, with girls it is more name calling, less fighting.”
Is what I was referring to.

mideonphish ➔ Atlas Reloaded  ·  4 years ago

It's a systemic problem which I'd like to think is obvious to most here, the plainly false stereotyping of young boys as aggressive and violent whilst the sun apparently shines out of the girls butt-puckers.

Somewhat fortuitously here in Northern Ireland, a lot of schools still follow the single gender and full school uniform policies that I experienced while at school myself.

More than ever the schools are being run almost exclusively by women, this is not good for young boys who desperately need male role models more than ever to help them deal with the realities of living in a world that openly despises them.

Hell even when kids do well in exams here in the UK the news routinely carries reports slamming the tests for being too easy.

The kids these days don't even try to do well, and given shit like this it easy to see why the boys especially see no reason to bother.

It's like they cannot win no matter what they do, and nothings ever going to be good enough.

Free Human Being  ·  4 years ago

I'm a Libetarian - In my world you work or you get nothing. Feminists would have no power in a libertarian society where you can't complain and whine to get what you want.

Feminists want to suck money out of men. Whilst you pay half your money to your ex wives and then half of what's left in tax to support single mothers and others who don't have to be responsible for their decisions, you have nothing left, but they want YOU to be responsible for everyone else

ARGGHH!!!

gingerbred ➔ Free Human Being  ·  3 years ago

It irritates me, too. I like working for my money. It frustrates me when I see "manginas" or "feminists" do almost nothing in their job but stand around and look pretty, text on their phones, or socialize. Yet, they feel they are entitled to earning the same amount and not having to work so hard for it. Are we ever going to get back to personal accountability?

Introspectre ➔ Free Human Being  ·  4 years ago

So disabled men who did work and now can't and made too little to collect savings should what, die?
The Libertarianism I'm acquainted with has more compassion than that. Your interpretation would benefit women much more than men because society will do anything to protect females from destitution so basically incapable women would be housed and protected but incapable men, (of which there are many more), would I guess be left to die in the streets.

If that interpretation ever comes to pass it won't take sensible people very long to regret it.

Libertarianism is about responsibility for yourself and a responsibility to play a role in making your society enlightened and worth being a part of, making it so that no authoritarian body has reason to claim they can do it better.

Free Human Being • Introspectre • 4 years ago

Simply:

In the absence of government force or inefficient, unproductive people - Higher self responsibility is a good.

In the case of men who have been rendered destitute by socialist removal of valid, earned wealth, restitution in the form of value redistribution would ensue.

Alimony would certainly not exist.

Child support would be rendered obsolete.

Custody would be split.

In cases where it wasn't, the party with the responsibility, would be........responsible.

Men who did work, but are now incapacitated would be appropriated a share of the left government owned assets as restitution, as state owned assets are reappropriated.

Of course there are those who can not as an absolute fend for themselves, there for they would require assistance stemming from the good of people.

So, in the presence of good people, we would voluntarily help those fallen brethren who need it, thus proving we are inherently good and solving the problem of disabled need.

Introspectre • Free Human Being • 4 years ago

I'm glad you said that because I've heard several libertarians advocating the abandonment of the disabled or incapable, (and I don't mean those who strive to leech from others but genuinely disabled and damaged humans), and I don't think they quite understand the damage a large underclass of desperate people could do to the non-initiation principle. Also, controlling that desperation with a large empowered police, force would just take us back in the wrong direction as large powerful police forces are stateist coercion machines and dangerous to the people.

I honestly believe that true freedom is only possible if it's led by responsible as well as compassionate people who have made the concept of callous governance obsolete.
Free Human Being  Introspcre • 4 years ago
Yes, I think people outside of governmental oppression would be even more generous than they are now.

I would much rather donate my time/money/talent to helping the disabled/incapacitated than having tax money wrenched from my cold hard fist in order to pay single mothers and able bodied welfare scammers.

If humanity is good then we will as per the fact, look after the needy.

I don't agree with abandoning the needy, I have faith in good people.

I don't however agree with femisocialist views that base their entire argument for socialism around the few members of society that need help.

I also believe their are very few people in the western world who are not capable of some form of productivity, so businesses could with or without social pressure assist in helping those to help themselves.

Introspcre  Free Human Being • 4 years ago
Agreed.

Raven01  Introspcre • 4 years ago
http://www.wimp.com/apathyanti...

^^^Semi-related. Essentially showing how it you give people a chance to, most are generally good and wish to create positive change. Yet, the socialist/big brother system we currently employee tries to prevent that.

Pankaj  Introspcre • 4 years ago
Our friend here sounds like a Randian/Objectivist. He does not know that Ayn hated being called a libertarian, and correctly so because she was not one, nor is her philosophy.

Libertarianism (the principle not the party) means adherence to two principles -
1. Noninitiation of aggression,
2. Extending that non-aggression to whatever is recognized as justly owned by someone else.

Free Human Being  Pankaj • 4 years ago
"Our friend here sounds like a Randian/Objectivist. He does not know that Ayn hated being called a libertarian, and correctly so because she was not one, nor is her philosophy."
Frankly, being that you had the candor to be frank with me, I fail to see how you deduced that I do not know the difference, being that I left my opening statement with a qualifying exactum.

I am well aware of objectivism and libertarianism and the differences therein.

However the non statist nature of primary libertarianism assumes that force is unwarranted, thusly private ownership and capitalist dynamics are logically the default position.

The socialists within libertarianism hold views contrary to the rights of individuals other than that on state owned assets.

Possession is the question, but you can subscribe to Randian dynamics without being a subscribed objectivist so to speak.

Pankaj

I don't think you have a clear understanding of Libertarian means. Now, don't shoot the messenger, rathr take the opportunity to revise and understand the basic principles. For, I am not saying you are completely wrong.. you are not, but this interpretation is "where you can't complain and whine to get what you want"

You can whine as much as you like and people are free to give you charity, but other than that, you go without.

Free Human Being

I'm speaking in terms of relevant context. Able body people who don't work get nothing.

I tried to be succinct without having to over explain the post.

A libertarian society would as an inherent structure give people more options to mitigate foreseeable problems in terms of insurances and risk assessed policy.

The relevant contextual meaning of my statement was that feminists couldn't complain and suck money into their femisocialist quarters, because money and assets would be individually owned and only free donation would see them advance their fiscal viability.

...and we all know without socialist money sucking, feminism is NOT fiscally viable as a self supporting means of valid, competitive intrinsics.

There are several branches of libertarianism all slightly differentiated from the next, but the general theme is non-statism.

Pankaj

Agreed
Yeah........well there is a new God in town Ray.....his name is Paul :)

And by the way, your mother wears army boots.

No offense Izzey :)

• Reply • Share

"The lie of personal powerlessness is a re-framing of the biblical admonition to surrender control of your life to the self-appointed intermediaries to "god"; the church."

god intermediaries are not self-appointed, neither is the true church (tho of course numerous frauds operate, especially in the west's matriarchal "churches")

"The myth of your personal inability to comprehend the big complicated world is a retelling of the biblical edict to surrender your personal accountability to an imaginary supernatural redeemer-figure."

this is the second essay of yours i've read, and you have a cunning talent for conflating opposites: the demonic elements of planet fem (especially governmental elements) with god and his servants

you're quite clever at it, mixing it in with obvious truths, but i won't bother to refute it all, it's v tiring (pearls, swine, etc)

the only men's movements that have ever arisen on this planet, to counter the original alliance between collective female power and elite/tyrant males, have been organized and staffed (usually, to the death) by that very god and redeemer you so heartily mock, and/or by his associates

this version of the mrm will be no different: your propaganda, though subtle, is counterinsurgent...

• Reply • Share

Off topic.

With all the news of women being let off with a slap on the wrists for everything from organising drunken drug fueled orgies for their underage students, to planning and carrying out the murders of their husbands, I'm surprised to see this news article today.

http://news.ninemsn.com.au/wor...

Also more good news on the anti misandry front. Julie Gillard, Australia's first female PM, who rode to power in back room deals, stabbing Keven Rudd in the back and ousting him last year, and then narrowly clinging to power in the last election by buying the preferences of a couple of independants to scrape through with just enough seats to cling to power for her government, is already collapsing in popularity, the the disposed Keven Rudd now ahead of her in the popularity stakes. The Labor Parties polls have slumped to their lowest level in 15 years under her watch.

Ho Hum, if only women ruled the world everything would fine lol

http://news.ninemsn.com.au/nat...
I appreciate the author’s efforts but in the end, so what? We already knew this. Let’s focus on what we can do on a daily level, rather than zooming out and pondering the significance of global conspiracies on a macro-level.

I'm pretty sure that technology is one of our enemies. In fact, the more technology has progressed in my lifetime, the more I hate life. There is a limit to how much the government can intrude on your life without technology. Even your thoughts may not be private in the future. Ever heard people fantasizing about the future and how wonderful it will be when we have a zillion more gadgets and gizmos to do our chores and keep us entertained. It's like putting a bird in a cage and saying it's better off because it's got its auto feeder and a mirror for company, and a bell to play with.

Technology does not create freedom, it takes it away. Freedom isn't the ability for me to send my text around the world on the internet.......freedom is being able to be left alone.

I'm 48yo now. I'm 100% sure that I had far more freedom when I was 20yo. And I'm sure my father had more freedom when he was 20 then I had at 20. And I think the erosion of freedom has been made possible by technology. The governments desire to erode freedom has always been there, but it's technology that makes it happen.

I hear a lot of people say that the internet gives us......MRAs a voice that can't be silenced. It's an illusion. They can shut down and criminalize our activities anytime they want to. And once they do that, saying you have the freedom to get on the net and spread the MRM word will be the same as pedophile saying they can get on the net and look at child porn.......yes they can.....if they can find any......and if they want to go to jail. Besides that, the whole reason that we are here, spending much time on the internet, instead of going fishing, or playing golf, is because the feminists have grown so powerful via the very technology that we have handed them.

We are here because technology is imprisoning us, not freeing us.

Technology, like guns, money, fire, and water, is neutral. Technology imprisons no one. Humans imprison other humans. It has always and will always be so.

Alan Moore's illustrated masterpiece “The Watchmen” had this same premise: that in order to forestall impending doom (in this case, global nuclear annihilation), an elite had to create a common enemy that would require the West and Soviet Russia to abandon hostilities.

So Adrian Veidt, self-made billionaire, developed a plan to create an alien invasion. The world united, under Veidt's banner, of course. The last page of the graphic novel, however, had the Truth, via Rorshach's journal, being opened.
Keyster • 4 years ago

The point McCain and Bush were making was that we'd possibly be "in" Iraq for an extended period of time, not "at war". We have bases in Germany, Japan and Korea, we're not "at war" with those countries but once were.

Why are we at (Obama sanctioned) war against the Libyan government right now? To prevent a humanitarian crises in a city against a rebel people we don't even know.

"Bush Derangement Syndrome" lingers on, now as a distraction from the "Anointed One's" own policies, which by the way are EXACTLY the same as Bush/Cheney. Yet there seems to be no will to criticize him for ANYTHING among the HIS elite.

Raven01 • 4 years ago

Remember you are in Libya to make one wealthy.

General Alexander could not get jack squat for help when Tutsi’s and Hutu's were massacring each other in the thousands. Their country was not of value to the elite. Yet the violence was far worse and more prolonged.

"The bloody history of Hutu and Tutsi conflict stained the 20th century, from the slaughter of 80,000 to 200,000 Hutus by the Tutsi army in Burundi in 1972 to the 1994 Rwanda genocide in which Hutu militias targeted Tutsis, resulting in a 100-day death toll between 800,000 and 1 million." [source](http://worldnews.about.com/od/...)

Who ran the US, Canada, Australia, or the UK in 94? Who cares Mauel Dexter has thrown to light the OTHER golden rule. He who has the gold makes all the rules. And those with the gold have no money in Rwanda or Burundi that is being lost due to conflict. Diamond are bought cheaply BECAUSE of this conflict in fact You can bet your last dollar they have an interest in Libya oil though. Idle oil derricks pump no money.

Tom M • 4 years ago

Praise The Almighty Lord Obama! Amen...

Atlas Reloaded • 4 years ago

All Hail!

Atlas Reloaded • 4 years ago

P.S. Oceannia is at war with Eastasia. Oceannia has always been at war with Eastasia. We are in Alliance with Euerasia.
P.P.S. We never said "global warming." We have always said "climate change." That's happening now, because a couple of years ago there was some snow in Florida. So we're just clarifying that.

Uhh, actually it did start out as "Global warming", then changed to "Climate change: AFTER it was repeatedly shown that the earths temp. has dropped just as there was a predicted rise.

I live in an area with a decent growing season whose landscape is predominantly rolling hills formed by the movement of huge glaciers. And yes, Florida was snowed on even when I was a child although it was rare. Clear-cut forests would be a larger impact on global weather patterns than personal vehicles. Easily mitigated by re-planting as forests are cut.

Now, fossil fuels are a pollutant and a finite resource. But, the reason for the clamour now is the elite are now in a position to take your money for "green" energy, not a pressing threat.

Long and short most people are only ever given enough information so that they can only come to one desired conclusion. And, the pathetic writer that pass for journalists aid in the deciet especially in the science and technology arena.

I can tell that "Manuel Dexter" has been watching/listening to lots of Glenn Beck, Alex Jones, George Noory, and others like them that have also covered these things in great detail. I've watched and listened to them as well as their adversaries. At 40-some-odd years old now, it doesn't matter to me whether there's a Cabal that runs everything, or if there are arseholes in power that want to take my every last freedom, or if our cultures and infrastructure are going to come crashing down around us due to war or natural disaster -- the fact is that I'll be dead soon enough anyway whether it be by disease, war, strife, famine, or some random accident. I simply wish there was no need for A Voice for Men -- Unfortunately, there is.

Like Frodo in The Lord of the Rings lamented: "I wish none of this had happened." To which Gandalf replied: "So do all who live to see such times, but that is not for them to decide. All we have to decide is what to do with the time that is given to us."

I realize that my time here is limited and that I am likely not going to save the world -- and I'm not going to try, because ultimately the world is self-correcting. But what I will do is: take care of myself, my family, and my friends. Beyond that, to put things in a Glenn Beck parlance, I'll use E4 "education, enlightenment, empowerment, and entrepreneurship" to make things better for everyone where I can.

http://www.glennbeck.com/2011/...

http://www.foxnewsinsider.com/...

http://www.watchglennbeck.com/...
Whatever you may think of Glenn Beck, remember: even a stopped clock is accurate twice per day.

Pankaj • Tom • 4 years ago
or Glenn Beck has been watching Manuel Dexter?
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The Fraud of Feminism: Vol 2 of the collected works of E. Belfort Bax now available
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Peter Wright — Before the second-wave feminist revolution of the 1970s, men and women were considered by socialists to BOTH be part of the …

Anti-Cosby protestors attempt to physically silence Cosby defenders
43 comments • 12 hours ago
Un-confused — This makes me so angry. When will the mainstream catch on to how violent these feminist thugs truly are? EVERY TIME men try …

Did John Hembling plagiarize an article by Jim Lobe?
68 comments • 18 hours ago
coldfire — If this decision is truly being made without prejudice, then can we call expect that if someone discovers a paragraph in any other …

Interdisciplinary Shaming Dept. Part I – Introduction
107 comments • 4 days ago
Patrick DiSandro — I seem to remember there being an issue where someone tried to reveal the identity of a false rape accuser, and others …
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Cosby in Hamilton: Counterprotestors in support of Cosby face attacks

On Friday January 9, 2015, despite calls for his shows to be canceled due to allegations of rape, ... [Keep Reading...]
attack on “manspreading” is not a joke

...and it went from Tumblr feminist bigots all the way to public policy in a surprisingly short ... [Keep Reading...]
Rawlings blasts new age feminists and white knights

UFC Fighter Bec Rawlings wants you to know she is free to act, dress, think and talk as she ... [Keep Reading...]
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Breakthrough medical treatment for feminism & related disorders

It is with great happiness and no small level of relief that A Voice for Men announces the first set ... [Keep Reading...]
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When fathers love their children more than Crazy

I was in an abusive relationship for twenty years, of the sort perfectly described by Paul Elam of ... [Keep Reading...]
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Warren Farrell Interview at ICMI

2014, Detroit

Back in June 2014, Steve Brule interviewed Dr. Warren Farrell at the International Conference on ...

WOMEN

A breakup letter to feminism

Editorial note: is it just us or is this starting to look like a trend? We tend to think so.
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